# Botany IV semester (2020-2021)

# **Curriculum Evaluation**


Sl.No		Excellen	very	goo	averag	
	Criteria	t	good	d	e	poor
1	Depth of Syllabus	87	8	4	0	0
2	Extend of coverage of program of study.	60	25	13	0	0
3	Relevance of study	62	21	0	13	0
4	Learning value in terms of knowledge, skills & abilities.	76	10	13	0	0
5	Clarity & relevance of Textual reading material.	91	2	7	0	0
6	Relevance of Library material.	86	13	0	0	0
7	Level of motivation generated to go for higher studies.	69	21	9	0	0
8	How far the curriculum takes care of the student requirements.	65	26	9	0	0
9	How relevant is the curriculum in empowering students	91	2	11	0	0
10	To take up challenges of modern life	86	2	11	0	0
11	Overall rating of curriculum.	82	4	8	4	0

Principal College Stee Narala Varkala




TANALYSIS-DEPART.





## Department evaluation

SI	1		very			
110	Criteria	excellent	good	good	average	poor
1	Academic atmosphere	97	2	0	0	0
2	Accuracy of internal assessment	91	9	0	0	0
3	Classroom facility	97	2	0	0	0
4	Library facility	82	13	5	0	0
5	Laboratory facility	86	13	0	0	0
6	ICT enabled class room facility	91	9	0	0	0
7	Teacher student relationship	86	13	0	0	0
8	Conducting extension activities/ workshops/ seminars	82	2	0	0	0
9	Participation in teaching learning process	86	13	0	0	0
10	Overall perfomance of teachers	91	4	0	0	0
11	Overall rating of department	86	13	0	0	0




121

X11011V11

# **Teacher evaluation**

Sl.no.	Criteria	excellent	very good	good	average	poor
1	Subject Knowledge	80	10	10	0	0
2	Communication skill	65	5	10	15	0
3	Classes interesting	85	5	5	5	0
4	Testing students knowledge	80	15	5	0	0
5	Clearing doubts	75	10	5	10	0
6	Well prepared	80	15	5	0	0
7	Covering all portions	70	10	20	0	0
8	Evaluate test paper and assignments	80	5	5	5	0
9	Using modern technology	75	20	5	0	0
10	Sincere and dedicated	60	20	10	10	0
11	Class control	70	15	10	5	0
12	Punctuality	80	10	5	5	0
13	No partiality	90	10	0	0	0
14	Job oriented education	60	10	20	20	0
15	Special care to weaker students	75	15	5	0	0
16	Help and guidance	79	15	0	0	0
17	Curricular and extra curricular advices	86	10	4	0	0
18	Kind	80	20	0	0	0
19	Additional hours	70	10	10	10	0
20	Overall	65	10	5	15	0

Sieghsishaus College




4020

# **Teacher evaluation**

Sl.no.	Criteria	excellent	very good	good	average	poor
1	Subject Knowledge	80	10	10	0	0
2	Communication skill	65	5	10	15	0
3	Classes interesting	85	5	5	5	0
4	Testing students knowledge	80	15	5	0	0
5	Clearing doubts	75	10	5	10	0
6	Well prepared	80	15	5	0	0
7	Covering all portions	70	10	20	0	0
8	Evaluate test paper and assignments	80	5	5	5	0
9	Using modern technology	75	20	5	0	0
10	Sincere and dedicated	60	20	10	10	0
11	Class control	70	15	10	5	0
12	Punctuality	80	10	5	5	0
13	No partiality	90	10	0	0	0
14	Job oriented education	60	10	20	20	0
15	Special care to weaker students	75	15	5	0	0
16	Help and guidance	79	15	0	0	0
17	Curricular and extra curricular advices	86	10	4	0	0
18	Kind	80	20	0	0	0
19	Additional hours	70	10	10	10	0
20	Overall	65	10	5	15	0

Stee Matahayaya Collede




#### Action taken report of IV semester students of 2020-21

Analysis of curriculum evaluation results showed the areas where improvement is needed which includes level of motivation generated to go for higher studies and how far the curriculum takes care of the students requirements. The matter was discussed in the department level meeting and measures were taken to bring the attention of the Board of studies in the above matters.

Feedback on department analysis was collected from IV semester Botany students. Areas that need special attention includes conducting extension activities/ workshops/ seminars, library facility, laboratory facility and participation in teaching learning method. Department level meetings were conducted and correct measures were taken to boost the conditions.

Teacher evaluation was collected from IV semester Botany students of 2020-21. Analysis of the data revealed some areas which need improvement and that includes job- oriented education, special care to weaker students and using modern technology in teaching learning process. The matter was mentioned very well within the department level meeting and conjointly with the Principal and acceptable measures were taken.

Sies Marayana Narkalia



#### Action taken report of IV semester students of 2020-21

Analysis of curriculum evaluation results showed the areas where improvement is needed which includes level of motivation generated to go for higher studies and how far the curriculum takes care of the students requirements. The matter was discussed in the department level meeting and measures were taken to bring the attention of the Board of studies in the above matters.

Feedback on department analysis was collected from IV semester Botany students. Areas that need special attention includes conducting extension activities/ workshops/ seminars, library facility, laboratory facility and participation in teaching learning method. Department level meetings were conducted and correct measures were taken to boost the conditions.

Teacher evaluation was collected from IV semester Botany students of 2020-21. Analysis of the data revealed some areas which need improvement and that includes job- oriented education, special care to weaker students and using modern technology in teaching learning process. The matter was mentioned very well within the department level meeting and conjointly with the Principal and acceptable measures were taken.

Sies Marayana Narkalia


# FEEDBACKANALYSIS-DEPARTMENT OFBOTANY

# Botany II semester (2020-2021)

## **Curriculum Evaluation**


SI.						
no	Criteria	ecellent	very good	good	average	poor
-	Depth of Syllabus	70	27	3	0	0
2	Extend of coverage of theprogram of study.	69	28	9	5	0
3	Relevance of study	75	16	4	0	4
4	Learning value in terms of knowledge, skills & abilities.	72	10	9	9	0
5	Clarity & relevance of Textual reading material.	69	10	13	5	0
6	Relevance of Library material.	63	19	14	7	0
7	Level of motivation generated to go for higher studies.	71	10	16	0	0
	How far the curriculum takes care of the student					
8	requirements.	62	25	9	0	0
9	How relevant is the curriculum in empowering students	58	28	13	0	0
10	To take up challenges of modern life	64	16	10	0	0
11	Overall rating of curriculum.	79	5	10	0	0

# Curriculum evaluation



Stee Maradin Markaya
Stineipal Markaya


■ excellent very good



#### Department Evaluation

SI.No.	Criteria	excellent	very good	good	average	poor
1	Academic atmosphere	83	13	4	0	0
2	Accuracy of internal assessment	87	9	4	0	0
3	Classroom facility	93	6	0	0	0
4	Library facility	79	16	4	0	0
5	Laboratory facility	72	14	9	0	0
6	ICT enabled class room facility	81	9	6	0	0
7	Teacher student relationship	83	4	8	0	0
8	Conducting extension activities/ workshops/ seminars	79	4	9	0	0
9	Participation in teaching learning process	75	16	4	0	0
10	Overall perfomance of teachers	87	4	4	0	0
11	Overall rating of department	83	13	4	0	0

# Department evaluation




Principal College



# **Teacher evaluation**

sl			very			
no	Criteria	excellent	good	good	average	poor
1	Subject Knowledge	75	10	15	0	0
2	Communication skill	85	5	10	0	0
_3	Classes interesting	90	5	5	0	0
4	Testing students knowledge	80	15	5	0	0
5	Clearing doubts	75	20	5	0	0
6	Well prepared	80	15	5	0	0
_7	Covering all portions	90	10	0	0	0
8	Evaluate test paper and assignments	80	5	5	5	0
9	Using modern technology	75	20	5	0	0
10	Sincere and dedicated	65	20	5	5	0
11	Class control	70	15	10	5	0
12	Punctuality	80	10	5	5	0
13	No partiality	90	10	0	0	0
14	Job oriented education	60	30	20		
15	Special care to weaker students	78	15	6	0	0
16	Help and guidance	79	15	0	0	0
17	Curricular and extra curricular advices	86	10	4	0	0
18	Kind	80	20	0	0	0
19	Additional hours	75	10	10	1.00	
20	Overall	70	10	10	0	0



#### Action taken report of II semester students of 2020-21:


Analysis of curriculum evaluation results high-lightened the areas where improvement is needed which includes how relevant is the curriculum in empowering students, relevance of library material and how far the curriculum takes care of the student requirements. The matter was discussed in the department level meeting and measures were taken to bring the attention of the Board of studies in the above matters. Feedback on department analysis was collected from II semester Botany students. Areas that need special attention include library facility, laboratory facility and participation in teaching learning method and accuracy of internal assessment. Department level meeting was conducted and correct measures were taken to boost the conditions. Teacher evaluation was collected from the second semester Botany students of 2020-21. Analysis of the data revealed some areas which need improvement and that includes job- oriented education and using modern technology in teaching learning process. The matter was mentioned very well within the department level meeting and conjointly with the Principal and acceptable measures were taken.





# FEEDBACK ANALYSIS – DEPARTMENT OF BOTANY (2018-2021) Department Evaluation: Botany VI semester (2020-2021)

Sl.No	Criteria	Percentage
1	Academic atmosphere	85
2	Accuracy of internal assessment	82
3	Classroom facility	84
4	Library facility	86
5	Laboratory facility	92
6	ICT enabled classroom facility	79
7	Teacher student relationship	95
8	Conducting extension activities/ workshops/seminars	88
9	Participation in teaching learning process	88
10	Overall performance of teachers	98
11	Overall rating of department	95










# Department evaluation and action plan taken report of VI semester students of 2020-21:

Feedback for Department analysis was collected from II semester Botany students. Areas need special attention included ICT enabled classroom facility and improvement of teacher student relationship, participation in teaching learning method and accuracy of internal assessment. The higher than results obtained were completely mentioned within the Department level meeting and correct measures were taken to boost the conditions.

# Curriculum Evaluation: Botany VI semester (2020-2021)

Sl.No	Criteria	Percentage
1	Depth of syllabus	93
2	Extend of coverage of Program	87
3	Relevance of study	85
4	Learning values in terms of knowledge, skills and abilities	90
5	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material	85
6	Relevance of library material	88
7	Motivation generated to go for higher studies	90
8	Taking care of student's requirements	82
9	Relevance of curriculum in empowering students	88
10	To take up challenges of modern life	90
11	Overall rating of curriculum	90







# Curriculum evaluation and action plan taken report of VI semester BSc Botany students of 2020-21:

Analysis of the above results high lightened the areas where improvement is needed. They included empowerment of students, taking care of student requirements etc. The matter was discussed in the Department level meeting and measures were taken to bring the attention of the Board of studies in the above matters.

Feedback Analysis of Faculty: Botany VI semester (2020-2021)


criteria	Average
Subject knowledge	95
Communication skill	89
Classes interesting	85
Testing student knowledge	89
Clearing doubts	87
Well prepared	90
Covering all portions	91
Evaluate test papers and assignments	88
Using modern technology	90
Sincere and dedicated	94
	92
Class control	92
Punctuality	90
No partiality	87
Job oriented education	86
Special care to weaker students	88
Help and guidance	86
Curricular and extra curricular advice	91
Kind	89
Additional hours	93
Overall	



Principal .

PRINCIPAL

SREE NARAYANA COLLEGE
SIVAGIRI, VARKALA



# Teacher evaluation and action taken report of VI semester BSc Botany students 2020-21

Teacher evaluation was collected from the second semester Botany students of 2017-18. Analysis of the info unconcealed those sure areas like giving effective recommendation to curricular& extra-curricular activities, giving special care to weaker students, Usage of contemporary techniques want special attention. The matter was mentioned very well within the department level meeting and conjointly with Principal and acceptable measures were taken.



Principal .
PRINCIPAL
SREE NARAYANA COLLEGE
SIVAGIRI, VARKALA


# Sree Narayana College, Varkala, Thiruvananthapuram Department of Botany (2018-2021) Exit Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report

#### Analysis:

Exit feedback were collected from the final year students (2018-2021 batch) using the format circulated by IQAC of our College. The Performa contains 20 parameters for assessment using 5-point scale. The duly filled format were collected from each student and analysed and scores were analysed.

# The Result of the analysis follows:

SI.No	Parameters	Score %
1	Uses of innovative teaching methods	86.5
2	Effectiveness of online classes	83
3	Seminars and workshops conducted	85
4	Library facility	88
5	Laboratory facility	91
6	Computer and internet accessibility	80
7	Canteen facility	79
8	Sports facility	84.5
9	Co-operative store facility	80.5
10	Dealings/interaction of office administration staff	84.5
11	The cleanliness and ambience in campus	89
12	Clubs/committee/cells/units/NSS/NCC	95
13	Remedial coaching	88
14	PTA activities	89
15	Tutorial system	92.5
16	Career guidance and counselling	84.5
17	Student support program	87.5
18	Drinking water availability	92.5
19	Wash room/toilet facility	91
20	Overall impression	94



#### **Action Taken Report:**

In parameters like Canteen facilities, Computer and Internet Accessibility, Co-Operative store facilities, Sports facility shows lowest score compared to other parameters. The matters were discussed in the department meetings and Head of the Department take necessary actions. Through DBT and RUSA fund enhanced the facilities.



Principal .

PRINCIPAL

SREE NARAYANA COLLEGE
SIVAGIRI, VARKALA